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Introduction

- Aims to contribute to the development of harmonised methods for building better environmental indicators relevant to transport
- Output of a collaboration among a network of 30 European ‘natural’, ‘policy’ and ‘planning’ scientists; a 5-year study
- Develops a framework for the construction, assessment and use of environmental indicators
Definition of indicators

- After in-depth literature review
- An *indicator* is a variable, based on measurements, representing as accurately as possible and necessary a phenomenon of interest
- An *indicator of environmental sustainability in transport* is a variable, based on measurements, which represents potential or actual impacts on the environment - or factors that may cause such impacts - due to transport, as accurately as possible and necessary
Criteria for indicator assessment and selection

- Literature provides lists of 4-30 criteria for use in the selection of indicators.
- Broad consensus about many criteria across domains (health, agriculture, transport…).
- Limited agreement on specific definitions of criteria (often only a headline).
- Considerable overlap among definitions.
- Very low agreement of an overall categorisation => no common logic as to purpose of each criterion.
Ten criteria for indicator assessment and selection

- **Measurement related criteria:** Indicators assessed with regard to accurate representation of an impact (as accurate as possible and necessary)
- **Monitoring related criteria:** Indicators assessed with regard to how operational they are for practical and continued monitoring
- **Management related criteria:** Indicators assessed for their pertinence to and usefulness for policy and decision making

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Representation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Validity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reliability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity (to specific factor)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measurability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data availability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethical concerns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transparency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpretability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target relevance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actionability</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Concept of ‘Chain of causalities’

- Impact lists often *heterogeneous* in literature => *systemic* approach
- Chain of causalities = homogeneous process or series of homogeneous processes between the transport system and a final target of the impacts on the environment

- Homogeneous process defined by a scientific discipline, linearity, reversibility, distance and time scale, ...
- Final target: resources, ecosystem, human health, human well-being, man-made heritage, the Earth
- Chains independent, encompass all the relevant impacts found in the literature
49 chains, 27 aggregated chains

- **Noise and vibrations**
  - Noise (4 chains)
  - Vibration (1 chain)

- **Safety**
  - Traffic Safety (1 chain)
  - Biota collision (1 chain)

- **Air pollution**
  - Sensitive air pollution (3 chains)
  - Direct toxicity of air pollutants (2 chains)
  - Photo-chemical pollution (4 chains)
  - Acidification (2 chains)
  - Eutrophication (1 chain)
  - Dimming (1 chain)
  - Ozone depletion (2 chains)

- **Soil and water pollution**
  - Pollution of soil, surface water, groundwater (3)
  - Maritime pollution (3 chains)
  - Hydraulic changes and risks (2 chains)

- **Impacts on land**
  - Land take (4 chains)
  - Habitat fragmentation (2 chains)
  - Soil erosion (1 chain)
  - Visual qualities of land / townscape (1 ch.)

- **Non-renewable resource use and waste handling**
  - Non-renewable resource use (1 chain)
  - Non-recyclable waste (1 chain)
  - Direct waste from vehicles (1 chain)

- **Greenhouse effect** (1 chain)

- **Other impacts**
  - Electromagnetic pollution (2 chains)
  - Light pollution (1 chain)
  - Introduction of invasive alien species (1)
  - Introduction of illnesses (1 chain)
  - Fire risk (1 chain)
  - Technological hazards (1 chain)
Typology of the chains of causalities

- Typology for presentation: 27 aggregated chains, 8 macro-chains
- Aggregate the 49 chains according to typical global or top-down classifications gives heterogeneous aggregates
  - quality of life / resources
  - short / long term
  - reversible / irreversible
  - local / global
- Top-down approaches of the environment through simple and discrete classifications seem not to correspond to the reality of the impacts
Assessment of indicators of 7 impacts

- 7 chains of causalities out of 49 chosen:
  - noise as annoyance, direct toxicity of air pollutants, loss of cultural heritage due to land take, natural habitat fragmentation, non renewable resource use, waste, greenhouse effect
  - 90 indicators

- 90 indicators assessed / 10 criteria above, by one or several experts

- *Example:* chain 'non-renewable resource use'
### Assessment of indicators of 7 impacts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Representation</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Validity</td>
<td>Low scores often given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reliability</td>
<td>High scores often given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>Poor to excellent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operation</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measurability</td>
<td>Low scores often given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data availability</td>
<td>Low scores often given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethical concerns</td>
<td>For all but one chain, all indicators excellent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy application</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transparency</td>
<td>High scores often given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpretability</td>
<td>High scores often given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target relevance</td>
<td>Low scores often given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actionability</td>
<td>Low scores often given</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Assessment of composite indicators

- Same assessment made for 7 aggregate or composite indicators of overall environmental sustainability
  - Ecological Scarcity (for Switzerland)
  - ReCiPe (for Europe)
  - Ecological Footprint
  - Material Input per Service-unit MIPS
- Economic approaches
  - stated preferences
  - revealed preferences
  - damage oriented
## Assessment of composite indicators

### Representation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Validity</th>
<th>All but 2 indicators poor or limited</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reliability</td>
<td>All but 2 indicators poor or limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>All but 2 indicators good or excellent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Operation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurability</th>
<th>All but 2 indicators good or excellent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data availability</td>
<td>All but 2 indicators poor or limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethical concerns</td>
<td>All indicators excellent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Policy application

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transparency</th>
<th>All but 2 indicators poor or limited</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interpretability</td>
<td>Poor or limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target relevance</td>
<td>All but 2 indicators poor or limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actionability</td>
<td>Mostly poor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusions (1/2)

- The concept of chains of causalities is a useful methodology and gives us new tools in order to evaluate the impacts of transport on the natural environment, on humans (health and well being), on man made heritage and more globally on the Earth.
- We derived 10 criteria for the assessment and selection of environmentally sustainable transport indicators.
- Indicators of environmental impact or environmental sustainability vary greatly in quality as assessed against the 10 criteria.
Conclusions (2/2)

- Further scientific work needed to derive indicators for impacts little studied or emerging environmental issues
- Need to review potential indicators
  - with extended panels for the 7 impacts assessed here
  - for other environmental impacts
  - for typical applications of indicators in transport assessment
- Often inter- or trans-disciplinary work
- Similar approaches to be done
  - for impacts of transport on economic or social outcomes
  - for environmental impacts from other sources than transport
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